

Double Cylinder Cycle codes of Arbitrary Girth

Mehdi Samadieh* and Mohammad Gholami†

March 5, 2014

Abstract

A particular class of low-density parity-check codes referred to as cylinder-type BC-LDPC codes is proposed by Gholami and Eesmaeli. In this paper We represent a double cylinder-type parity-check matrix H by a graph called the block-structure graph of H and denoted by $BSG(H)$. Using the properties of $BSG(H)$ we propose some mother matrices with column-weight two such that the rate of corresponding cycle codes are greater than cycle codes constructed by Gholami with same girth.

Keywords: LDPC codes, tanner graph, girth, closed walk.

1 Introduction

Low-density parity-check (LDPC) codes are a class of linear block codes represented by sparse parity-check matrices [2], capable of performing very close to the Shannon capacity limits when they are decoded under simple iterative decoders [3], [4], such as Sum-product algorithm [5]. LDPC codes can be constructed into two methods: random codes [3]-[6] and structured codes [7]-[8]. Quasi-cyclic(QC) LDPC codes are the most promising class of structured LDPC codes due to their ease of implementation and excellent performance over noisy channels when decoded with message-passing algorithms as extensive simulation studies have shown. Compared with randomly constructed codes, QC-LDPC codes can be encoded in linear time with shift registers and require small memory space to store the code graphs for decoding. LDPC codes are specified by a sparse parity check matrix and its corresponding Tanner graph [7].

*Corresponding author: Mehdi Samadieh is the Researcher of Isfahan Mathematics House, Isfahan, IRAN, e-mail: samadieh.m@gmail.com, m.samadieh@mathhouse.org

†Mohammad Gholami is with the Department of Mathematics, Shahrekord University, 115, Shahrekord, IRAN, e-mail: gholami-m@sci.sku.ac.ir, gholamimoh@gmail.com.

Tanner determined a lower bound on the minimum distance that grows exponentially with the girth of the code. The extension of LDPC codes to non-binary Galois field $GF(q)$ was first investigated empirically by Davey and MacKay over the binary-input AWGN channel [9].

Cycle codes [10] are a special class of binary LDPC codes with the property that each column of the parity-check matrix contains exactly two nonzero elements. Gallager [1] has shown that the minimum distance of cycle codes increases logarithmically with code length, while this increment is linear for the codes with column-weight greater than two. In spite of this weakness which causes to have poor performance, cycle codes have their own advantages:

- 1) Their encoding and decoding operations have lower computation and storage complexity,
- 2) They have better block error statistics when applied on partial response channels [11]-[12],
- 3) Non-binary cycle codes are among the most promising non-binary codes and for $q = 64, 128, 256$, the best q -ary LDPC codes decoded with belief propagation (BP) algorithm are cycle codes [13]-[14]. This makes non-binary cycle codes good candidates for both optimum maximum likelihood (ML) and iterative decoding,
- 4) Compared with other LDPC codes, the girth of the Tanner graph plays more important roles for cycle codes [15, 16], since it affects not only the message dependence of iterative decoding but also the minimum distance of the code [14].

Accordingly we propose a new method to construct mother matrices in order to construction of cycle codes with high girths and various lengths.

2 Preliminaries and Notations

A *graph* G is a triple consisting of a *vertex set* $V(G)$, an *edge set* $E(G)$, and a relation of incidence that associates with each edge two vertices (not necessarily distinct), called its *endpoints*.

A *bipartite graph* is a graph $G = (V, E)$ that V can be divided into two disjoint sets A and B , such that every edge $e \in E$ connects a vertex in A to one in B .

A *length- l walk* is a successive series of edges e_i and vertices v_j , such as $v_1 e_1 v_2 e_2 \cdots v_l e_l v_{l+1}$, forming a continuous curve, i.e. each e_i connects v_i to v_{i+1} . A walk is closed if the initial and

terminal vertices be same, i.e $v_1 = v_{l+1}$. A closed walk where the only end vertices are the same is a *cycle*, i.e. $v_i \neq v_j$ for each $1 \leq i < j \leq l+1$ except $i = 1, j = l+1$. Also the *girth* of a graph can be defined as the length of the shortest cycle.

To the LDPC code with the parity-check matrix H , we can associate a graph referred to as its *Tanner graph* (TG), which is a bipartite graph where the two disjoint sets collect the check nodes and the bit nodes associated to the rows and columns of H , respectively. An edge connects a check node to a bit node if a nonzero entry exists in the intersection of the corresponding row and column of H .

Let m, s be nonnegative integers with $0 \leq s \leq m-1$. The $m \times m$ circulant permutation matrix shifted by s , $\mathcal{I}_m^s$, is the matrix obtained from $m \times m$ identity matrix $\mathcal{I}_m$ by shifting rows s positions to the bottom, that is $\mathcal{I}_m^s = (e_{i,j})_{m \times m}$ where $e_{i,j} = 1$, if $i - j = s \bmod m$; and $e_{i,j} = 0$, otherwise. It is clear that $\mathcal{I}_m^0 = \mathcal{I}$. For simplicity, $\mathcal{I}_m^s$ is denoted by $\mathcal{I}^s$ when m is known.

The definition of block-structure graph, given in [1], is as the following.

2.1 Block-Structure Graph

Let m, b and γ be some positive integers and $b < \gamma$. Let $\mathcal{H} = (H_{i,j})_{b \times \gamma}$, where each $H_{i,j}$ is a $m \times m$ circulant permutation matrix or the $m \times m$ zero matrix. Considering $\mathcal{H}$ as a $b \times \gamma$ matrix with $m \times m$ entries, we refer to $\mathcal{H}$ as a matrix having b block-rows and γ block-columns. For simplicity, the matrix $\mathcal{H}$ and the quasi-cyclic LDPC code with the parity-check matrix $\mathcal{H}$ are called as m -circulant matrix and m -circulant code, respectively. Then we can define the block-structure graph associated to $\mathcal{H}$, denoted by $\text{BSG}(\mathcal{H})$, as the following:

Definition 2.1 Let G be a graph with the vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_b\}$, where v_i represents the i th block-row of $\mathcal{H}$. For each $i, j \in \{1, \dots, b\}$ and $k \in \{1, \dots, \gamma\}$, where $H_{i,k} = \mathcal{I}^{s_1}$ and $H_{j,k} = \mathcal{I}^{s_2}$ for some $0 \leq s_1, s_2 \leq m-1$, two vertices $v_i, v_j \in V$ are joined by two directed edges labeled with (k, s) , from v_i to v_j , and (k, s') , from v_j to v_i , where $s = -s' = s_2 - s_1 \bmod m$. For each edge of G , the first and second component of its label (k, s) are referred to as the *column*

index and *slope* of that edge, respectively. The resulting graph G is called the *block-structure graph* of H , and is denoted by $\text{BSG}(\mathcal{H})$.

Definition 2.2 Let G be $\text{BSG}(\mathcal{H})$, where $\mathcal{H}$ is a m -circulant matrix. A length- l closed walk in G is given by a sequence of vertices $v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_l}, v_{i_{l+1}}$, where $i_{l+1} = i_1$, with edges $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_l$ such that for each $1 \leq j \leq l$ edge e_j connect vertex v_{i_j} to vertex $v_{i_{j+1}}$ and if (k_j, s_j) denotes the label e_j from v_{i_j} to vertex $v_{i_{j+1}}$ then the following conditions are hold:

1. Each edge e_j in the sequence $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_l$ is repeated at most m times;
2. For each $1 \leq j \leq l$, $k_j \neq k_{j+1}$, where $k_{l+1} := k_1$, i.e. the index columns of successive edges are different;
3. $\sum_{j=1}^l s_j \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$, i.e. the sum of slopes of edges are zero in modulus of m .

For simplicity, we can show this length- l closed walk, or briefly l closed walk, in G with the following chain:

$$v_{i_1} \xrightarrow{(k_1, s_1)} v_{i_2} \xrightarrow{(k_2, s_2)} v_{i_3} \quad \dots \quad v_{i_{l-1}} \xrightarrow{(k_{l-1}, s_{l-1})} v_{i_l} \xrightarrow{(k_l, s_l)} v_{i_1}.$$

Definition 2.3 Let a, b and c be some non-negative integers such that $a \geq 2$, $b \geq 1$ and $c \geq 0$.

By (a, b, c) –double-cylinder LDPC (DC-LDPC) codes, we mean QC LDPC codes having the




Figure 1: a) Overall diagram of $\text{BSG}(H(a, b, c))$ b) $\text{BSG}(H(3, 2, 2))$ of Example 2.4.

mother matrix $H(a, b, c)$ as follows:

$$H(a, b, c) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \boxed{\begin{matrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \vdots \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & 1 & 1 \end{matrix}} \boxed{\begin{matrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{matrix}} \boxed{\begin{matrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{matrix}} \dots \boxed{\begin{matrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{matrix}} \boxed{\begin{matrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \vdots \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & 1 & 1 \end{matrix}} \boxed{\begin{matrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{matrix}} \dots \boxed{1} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\text{Where, the number of blocks } \boxed{\begin{matrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{matrix}} \text{ between each two consecutive blocks } \boxed{\begin{matrix} 1 & \dots & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & \vdots \\ & \ddots & \vdots \\ & & 1 & 1 \end{matrix}}_{a \times a} =$$

$\mathcal{I} + \mathcal{I}^1$ is exactly c , and the number of blocks $\mathcal{I} + \mathcal{I}^1$ in $H(a, b, c)$ is b .

In fact, $H(a, b, c) = (h_{i,j})$ is a $(a + c - 1)b \times (a + c)b$ binary matrix, where $h_{i,j} = 1$, if and only if one of two following conditions is hold:

1. $\lfloor \frac{i-1}{a+c-1} \rfloor = \lfloor \frac{j-1}{a+c} \rfloor$, and if $i1 = i - 1 \bmod (a + c - 1)$, and $j1 = j - 1 \bmod (a + c)$, then one of the following conditions is hold:

(a) $i1 < a$, $j1 < a$ and $i1 - j1 \stackrel{a}{\equiv} 0, 1$.

(b) $i1 \geq a - 1$, $j1 \geq a$ and $j1 - i1 = 0, 1$.

2. $i = (a + c - 1) * k + 1 \pmod{(a + c - 1) * b}$, $j = (a + c) * k$, for some $1 \leq k \leq b$.

So, the design rate of the cycle code with the parity-check matrix $H(a, b, c)$ is $\mathcal{R} = 1 - \frac{(a+c-1)b}{(a+c)b} = \frac{1}{a+c}$; whereas the following lemma states the the maximum-achievable girth $g_{\max}$ of QC LDPC codes having the mother matrix $H(a, b, c)$, for b large enough, is $8(a + c)$. This shows that larger rates yields more less maximum-achievable girths.

Example 2.4 Let $a = 3$ and $b = c = 2$. The parity-check matrix of $H(3, 2, 2)$ is

$$H(3, 2, 2) = \begin{pmatrix} \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & \\ \hline & 1 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \\ & & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & \\ \hline & 1 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \\ & & & \begin{array}{|c|c|c|} \hline 1 & & 1 \\ \hline 1 & 1 & \\ \hline & 1 & 1 \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array} & \begin{array}{|c|} \hline 1 \\ \hline \end{array} \end{pmatrix}$$

Theorem 2.5 Let $a \geq 2$, $b \geq 1$ and $c \geq 0$ be some integers and $g_{\max}$ be the maximum achievable girth of QC LDPC codes having mother matrix $H(a, b, c)$. If $b \geq \lceil \frac{a-1}{c+1} \rceil + 2$, then $g_{\max} = 8(c + a)$, else $g_{\max} = 4(bc + b + a - 1)$.

Proof. Let H be the $(H(a, b, c))$, as shown in Figure 2.1. Let $\mathcal{P}_1$ be the following chain from v_a to itself:

$$v_a \xrightarrow{(a, s_a)} v_1 \xrightarrow{(1, s_1)} v_2 \xrightarrow{(2, s_2)} v_3 \cdots v_{a-1} \xrightarrow{(a-1, s_{a-1})} v_a,$$

$\mathcal{P}_2$ be the following chain from v_a to v_{a+c} :

$$v_a \xrightarrow{(a+1, s_{a+1})} v_{a+1} \xrightarrow{(a+2, s_{a+2})} v_{a+2} \cdots v_{a+c-1} \xrightarrow{(a+c-1, s_{a+c-1})} v_{a+c},$$

and $\mathcal{P}_3$ be the following chain from v_{a+c} to itself:

$$v_{a+c} \xrightarrow{(a+c, s_{a+c})} v_{a+c+1} \cdots v_{2a+c-1} \xrightarrow{(2a+c-1, s_{2a+c-1})} v_{a+c}.$$

It is clear that $\mathcal{P}_1$, $\mathcal{P}_2$ and $\mathcal{P}_3$ have length a , c and a , respectively. Hence, the chain $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{P}_1\mathcal{P}_2\mathcal{P}_3\mathcal{P}_2^{-1}\mathcal{P}_1^{-1}\mathcal{P}_2\mathcal{P}_3^{-1}\mathcal{P}_2^{-1}$ is an inevitable walk with length $l(\mathcal{P}) = 2l(\mathcal{P}_1) + 4l(\mathcal{P}_2) + 2l(\mathcal{P}_3) = 4(a + c)$ from v_a to itself, which is equivalent to an inevitable length- $8(a + c)$ in the Tanner graph. So, $g_{\max} \leq 8(a + c)$. On the other hand, let $\mathcal{P}'_1$ be the chain from v_1 to v_a as follows.

$$v_1 \xrightarrow{(1,s_1)} v_2 \xrightarrow{(2,s_2)} v_3 \cdots v_{a-1} \xrightarrow{(a-1,s_{a-1})} v_a,$$

and $\mathcal{P}'_i$, $2 \leq i \leq b + 1$, be the following chains from $v_{(i-1)a+(i-2)(c-1)}$ to $v_{ia+(i-1)(c-1)}$:

$$v_{(i-1)a+(i-2)(c-1)} \xrightarrow{((i-1)a+(i-2)(c-1)+1, s_{(i-1)a+(i-2)(c-1)+1})} v_{(i-1)a+(i-2)(c-1)+1} \longrightarrow \cdots \longrightarrow v_{ia+(i-1)(c-1)},$$

where we accept that $v_{(b+1)a+b(c-1)-1} = v_1$ and $v_{(b+1)a+b(c-1)} = v_a$. It is clear that $\mathcal{P}'_1$ and $\mathcal{P}'_i$, $2 \leq i \leq b + 1$, have length $a - 1$, $c + 1$, respectively. Hence, the chain

$$\mathcal{P}' = \mathcal{P}'_1\mathcal{P}'_2 \cdots \mathcal{P}'_{b+1}\mathcal{P}'_1^{-1}\mathcal{P}'_{b+1}^{-1} \cdots \mathcal{P}'_2^{-1}$$

is an inevitable walk with length $l(\mathcal{P}') = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{b+1} l(\mathcal{P}'_i) = 2((a - 1) + b(c + 1)) = 2(bc + b + a - 1)$ from v_a to itself, which is equivalent to an inevitable length- $4(bc + b + a - 1)$ in the Tanner graph. So, $g_{\max} \leq 4(bc + b + a - 1)$. However $4(a + c) = l(\mathcal{P}) \geq l(\mathcal{P}') = 2(bc + b + a - 1)$, if and only if $b \geq \frac{a-1}{c+1} + 2$, which is hold iff $b \geq \lceil \frac{a-1}{c+1} \rceil + 2$. $\square$

Figure 2.1 shows maximum-achievable girth for different a , b and c values. In the following we propose construction of QC-LDPC codes.

2.2 Construction of DC-LDPC codes

Given positive integers a, b and c , let $H(a, b, c)$ be the parity check matrix of a double-cylinder LDPC (DC-LDPC), by code-generating algorithm given in [?] we can obtain suitable shift sequence values by select an enough large m as size of circulate permutation matrices and in order to construct double-cylinder LDPC (DC-LDPC) code with desire girth. In table 1 some DC-LDPC codes various length n rate r and girth $2g$.

$\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ \backslash b \end{smallmatrix}$	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	16	20	24	28	32	36	40	44
2	24	28	32	36	40	44	48	52
3	32	36	40	44	48	52	56	60
4	32	40	48	52	56	60	64	68
5	32	40	48	56	64	68	72	76
6	32	40	48	56	64	72	80	84
7	32	40	48	56	64	72	80	88
8	32	40	48	56	64	72	80	88

$\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ \backslash b \end{smallmatrix}$	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	20	24	28	32	36	40	44	48
2	32	36	40	44	48	52	56	60
3	40	48	52	56	60	64	68	72
4	40	48	56	64	72	76	80	84
5	40	48	56	64	72	80	88	96
6	40	48	56	64	72	80	88	96
7	40	48	56	64	72	80	88	96
8	40	48	56	64	72	80	88	96

$\begin{smallmatrix} a \\ \backslash b \end{smallmatrix}$	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
1	24	28	32	36	40	44	48	52
2	40	44	48	52	56	60	64	68
3	48	56	64	68	72	76	80	84
4	48	56	64	72	80	88	96	100
5	48	56	64	72	80	88	96	104
6	48	56	64	72	80	88	96	104
7	48	56	64	72	80	88	96	104
8	48	56	64	72	80	88	96	104

Figure 2: The maximum-achievable girth of $DC(a, b, c)$ codes for $c = 1, 2, 3$, from left to right, respectively.

References

- [1] M. Gholami, and M. Esmaeili, *Maximum-girth Cylinder-type Block-circulant LDPC Codes*, IEEE Trans. on Commun., vol. 60, no. 4, pp. 952–962, April 2012.
- [2] R. G. Gallager, “Low-density parity-check codes,” IRE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. IT-8, no. 1, pp. 21-28, Jan. 1962. D. J. C.
- [3] D. J. C. MacKey, “Good error-correcting codes based on very sparse matrices,” IEEE Trans. Inf. Theory, vol. 45, no. 2, pp. 399-432, Mar. 1999.
- [4] S. Y. Chung, G. D. Forney, T. J. Richardson, and R. L. Urbanke, “On the design of low-density parity-check codes within 0.0045 dB of the Shannon limit,” IEEE Commun. Lett., vol. 5, no. 2, pp. 586-589, Feb. 2001.
- [5] F. R. Kschischang, B. J. Frey and H. -A. Loeliger, “Factor graphs and the sum-product algorithm,” IEEE TIT: IEEE Trans. on Inf. Theory, vol 47, 2001.
- [6] N. Bonello, S. Chen, and L. Hanzo, *Design of low-density parity-check codes*, an overview, IEEE Vehicular Technology Magazine, Dec. 2011.

Table 1: FSS($m, \mathcal{B}, S$) codes with girth $2g$ and length n constructed from FSS($v, b, 2$)

b	c	a	$\mathcal{R}$	g	m	n	S
3	2	3	1/5	40	30	450	[0,28,19,5,16,14,25,10,15,16,13,4,6,3,25]
		4	1/6	48	42	756	[0,1,8,27,7,18,29,1,3,37,22,26,0,35,2,5,13,28]
		5	1/7	52	40	840	[0,1,9,22,19,6,6,4,2,0,16,5,1,33,0,3,27,28,26,38]
		6	1/8	56	33	792	[0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,3,0,0,0,0,0,0,5,0,21]
		7	1/9	60	28	756	[0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,3,0,0,0,0,0,0,5,0,25]
		8	1/10	64	20	600	[0,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,3,0,0,0,0,0,0,1,3,0,0,13]
	3	3	1/6	48	45	810	[0,14,0,8,3,4,37,14,39,36,17,9,38,24,22,34,2,40]
		4	1/7	56	30	630	[0,17,27,17,5,27,24,26,26,13,12,19,17,2,27,14,10,4,13,4,19]
		5	1/8	64	50	1200	[0,44,44,44,44,44,44,44,44,44,44,41,30,30,30,30,30,30,11,28,28,40]
		3	1/7	56	50	1050	[0,5,18,16,37,39,26,48,16,9,3,45,18,22,16,45,45,32,41,31,23]
		4	1/8	64	50	1200	[0,43,35,33,37,11,27,18,12,1,10,19,3,5,47,45,11,24,2,40,5,33,41,38]
		5	1/9	72	50	1350	[0,10,36,47,12,39,2,11,4,7,25,28,27,48,21,45,10,0,7,22,5,9,14,33,18,20,12]
	4	6	1/10	80	50	1500	[0,43,48,45,44,3,3,5,32,3,28,4,47,35,25,26,41,46,31,16,46,36,48,34,38,35,18,30,6,0]
		3	1/8	64	60	1440	[0,9,46,35,15,25,56,22,15,42,46,41,18,3,42,57,6,11,18,38,53,14,54,0]
		4	1/9	72	50	1350	[0,46,1,15,25,35,0,10,32,36,10,5,0,11,15,17,13,19,33,7,1,26,10,34,44,10,40]
		5	1/10	80	50	1500	[0,46,1,15,25,35,0,10,32,36,10,5,0,11,15,17,13,19,33,7,1,26,10,34,44,10,40]
		6	1/9	72	60	1650	[0,10,42,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,10,23,45,45,45,45,45,45,55,42,42,42,42,8]
		4	1/10	80	65	2100	[0,16,61,31,64,35,19,44,33,37,60,5,6,30,38,39,45,25,27,68,27,11,21,12,60,13,13,66,7,20]
4	2	3	1/5	40	40	800	[0,5,2,24,18,37,27,3,6,21,38,29,32,32,28,26,16,29,24,31]
		4	1/6	48	48	1152	[0,27,31,21,4,22,23,19,25,6,2,10,20,30,25,22,9,30,16,8,28,17,38,1]
		5	1/7	56	45	1260	[0,21,20,17,15,43,43,27,26,42,19,35,8,28,27,13,30,34,34,30,3,15,33,36,26,36,40,19]
		6	1/8	64	40	1280	[0,14,13,22,36,28,5,26,30,14,23,7,1,2,36,1,38,11,8,30,27,19,17,31,20,21,32,0,25,18,20]
		7	1/9	72	55	2420	[0,18,19,17,4,11,29,30,43,4,21,14,12,37,8,42,37,32,32,49,26,40,19,18,9,17,6,30,32,39,43,32,38,20,31,43]
		8	1/10	76	75	3000	[0,3,7,45,33,27,7,26,66,37,50,53,64,14,62,29,24,51,39,34,38,14,57,18,26,11,43,70,26,56,1,23,6,38,56,70,37,65,55,73]
	3	3	1/6	48	70	1680	[0,26,8,26,26,26,26,26,63,39,39,39,39,39,39,8,8,8,8,13,35,35,19]
		4	1/7	56	50	1400	[0,32,27,37,16,33,33,3,24,20,42,19,44,26,19,22,32,35,25,4,45,36,45,0,32,21,15,46]
		5	1/8	64	40	1280	[0,0,2,20,11,13,24,2,19,0,31,22,29,36,26,33,23,30,21,33,14,19,35,1,15,31,27,25,23,38,1,13]
		3	1/7	56	40	1120	[0,16,19,38,24,4,5,19,24,36,22,4,27,24,16,30,23,28,26,15,15,24,25,22,16,19,19,38]
		4	1/8	64	55	1760	[0,25,37,3,42,17,31,0,39,0,32,43,20,26,31,29,4,11,14,34,37,21,7,35,0,35,47,14,6,3,25,18]
		5	1/9	72	55	1980	[0,52,8,26,0,7,34,2,4,1,30,19,25,43,46,24,15,29,30,49,3,47,44,25,19,16,32,4,52,33,17,14,31,4,31,32]
5	2	6	1/10	80	60	2160	[0,46,9,12,13,34,35,53,55,55,5,41,13,22,44,39,2,20,43,8,29,31,50,57,52,58,54,1,45,36,17,47,32,47,41,35,22,7,6,43]
		3	1/8	64	40	1440	[0,31,4,31,37,29,12,7,31,18,20,0,5,10,15,26,37,22,0,38,33,14,25,15,29,27,19,28,15,25,21,33]
		4	1/9	72	50	1600	[0,30,26,35,21,37,15,32,42,47,39,19,29,20,27,37,14,6,42,4,22,1,5,33,20,19,13,13,17,21,32,15,25,32,39,38]
		5	1/10	80	65	2600	[0,20,20,20,20,20,20,20,20,20,20,20,20,24,55,55,55,55,55,55,55,55,55,39,39,39,39,39,39,39,48,30,30,20,24]
		3	1/9	72	40	1440	[0,28,25,25,0,32,21,15,8,23,10,4,5,17,24,29,0,24,0,26,7,22,33,25,37,29,37,10,38,35,2,17,22,11,32,30]
		4	1/10	80	40	1600	[0,18,33,10,24,13,35,35,11,18,27,21,24,15,26,32,38,3,18,17,28,35,0,16,29,36,6,24,10,38,15,16,4,4,14,3,32,38,7,4]
	3	7	1/10	80	45	1800	[0,38,30,35,38,6,38,43,29,3,8,36,43,5,26,30,33,35,27,15,17,10,8,15,27,9,20,25,26,1,32,43,2,28,38,34,24,40,36,9]
		3	1/5	40	30	750	[0,25,26,0,12,13,11,13,24,25,6,3,16,15,17,10,11,24,23,5,9,11,7,8,0]
		4	1/6	48	35	1050	[0,26,15,1,2,5,12,22,11,22,21,0,4,25,13,5,23,1,24,25,28,26,31,13,25,15,5,1,27,25]
		5	1/7	56	45	1575	[0,41,13,20,6,23,28,8,39,26,30,42,39,37,4,14,19,9,6,42,31,23,41,14,15,20,14,38,3,6,20,1,26,3,25]
		6	1/8	64	50	2000	[0,35,30,25,17,38,33,31,9,45,24,31,41,39,1,22,21,23,15,27,8,47,24,31,36,45,38,30,12,28,39,15,1,41,8,8,14,27,25,18]
		7	1/9	72	55	2475	[0,28,32,31,22,9,38,8,29,50,16,28,4,49,45,33,53,41,25,12,45,0,21,32,6,14,8,12,8,26,20,35,30,42,22,16,14,23,20,21,2,34,50,22,30]
	4	8	1/10	80	60	3500	[0,1,39,23,37,37,44,13,22,56,1,27,32,6,56,31,33,29,44,38,8,1,2,34,24,1,11,24,9,0,20,39,58,6,1,22,39,57,36,41,50,3,54,41,23,58,48,5,50,54]
		3	1/6	48	30	900	[0,18,11,1,19,4,7,4,24,10,3,7,2,12,13,21,28,19,20,14,13,26,2,24,24,21,26,11,26,22]
		4	1/7	56	40	1400	[0,23,28,21,22,36,12,12,11,13,6,26,27,28,4,12,22,10,20,4,25,19,26,33,25,35,27,6,10,19]
		5	1/8	64	45	1800	[0,34,2,15,4,13,33,30,23,23,30,0,7,24,23,25,11,6,21,32,42,26,0,36,6,0,41,35,24,7,3,14,39,36,8,31,19,35,14,18]
		4	1/8	64	25	1000	[0,2,20,15,9,2,6,22,7,2,6,7,4,14,12,9,8,1,15,22,20,6,23,8,21,3,11,23,17,3,10,10,7,2,22,9,10,3,13,11]
		5	1/9	72	45	2025	[0,42,19,0,18,9,21,24,25,0,41,25,28,21,18,39,22,22,8,37,21,43,40,5,9,20,39,21,25,40,39,40,43,38,19,19,2,30,0,31,5,23,23,7,34]
6	5	6	1/10	80	50	2500	[0,21,52,36,31,53,37,18,15,14,21,16,29,38,6,31,41,40,1,13,46,6,23,23,14,35,2,24,52,1,9,24,32,46,13,43,52,54,13,2,58,58,56,5,56,18,55,26,50,2]
		3	1/8	64	30	1600	[0,1,28,22,7,28,22,22,26,1,6,11,22,35,12,12,10,20,21,15,17,19,4,9,10,4,15,20,20,33,34,22,29,13,25,38,33,35,12,26]
		4	1/9	72	40	2250	[0,32,32,19,9,20,18,24,37,18,14,18,27,38,26,25,15,16,43,9,48,36,45,6,47,11,37,47,22,47,33,9,40,27,3,34,8,31,36,38,25,44,7,44,3]
		5	1/10	80	50	2750	[0,5,38,4,34,29,20,32,6,20,24,11,14,34,24,37,34,43,34,27,40,46,35,14,15,10,8,45,10,25,23,28,35,22,15,26,39,37,23,30,37,1,34,13,44,24,3,34,40,0,6,19,42,19,12]

[7] R.M. Tanner, D. Sridhara, A. Sridharan, T.E. Fuja, and D.J. Costello, “LDPC block and convolutional codes based on circulant matrices,” IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 50, no. 12, pp. 2966–2984, Dec. 2004.

[8] Sunghwan Kim, Jong-Seon No, Habong Chung, and Dong-Joon Shin, *Quasi-cyclic low-density parity-check codes with girth larger than 12*, IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory, vol. 53, no. 8, pp. 2885–2891, Aug. 2007.

[9] M. C. Davey and D. J. C. MacKay, “Low-density parity check codes over GF(q),” IEEE Commun. Lett., vol. 2, no. 6, pp. 165–167, June 1998.

[10] T. D. Souza, *Cycle Codes*, EPFL / ALGO-LMA, SSC 6th semester, June. 2005.

- [11] H. Song, J. Liu, B. V. K. V. Kumar, “Low complexity LDPC codes for magnetic recording,” in IEEE Globecom 2002, Taipei, Taiwan, R.O.C., Nov. 2002.
- [12] H. Song, J. Liu, B. V. K. V. Kumar, “Large girth cycle codes for partial response channels,” IEEE Trans. Magn., vol. 40, no. 4, part 2, pp. 3084-3086, 2004.
- [13] X.-Y. Hu, and E. Eleftheriou, “Binary representation of cycle Tannergraph codes,” in Proc. IEEE Intern. Conf. on Commun., Paris, France, pp. 528–532, June 2004.
- [14] C. Poulliat, M. Fossorier, and D. Declercq, “Design of regular $(2, d_c)$ LDPC codes over $GF(q)$ using their binary images,” IEEE Trans. Commun., vol. 56, pp. 1626–1635, Oct. 2008.
- [15] M. Esmaeili, and M. Gholami, *Geometrically-structured maximum-girth LDPC block and convolutional codes*, IEEE journal of selected area of commun., vol. 27, no. 6, Aug. 2009.
- [16] M. Esmaeili, and M. Gholami, *Maximum-girth Slope-based Quasi-cyclic $(2, k \geq 5)$ -LDPC Codes*, IET commun., vol. 2, no. 10, Aug. 2008.